### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT Thurgood Marshall U.S. Courthouse 40 Foley Square, New York, NY 10007 Telephone: 212-857-8500

### MOTION INFORMATION STATEMENT

Docket Number(s): <u>11-2215</u>	Caption [use short title]
Motion for: Two-day extension of filing time	Mary Jo C. v. New York State and Local Retirement System, Central Islip Public Library
Set forth below precise, complete statement of relief sought:	
Two-day extension, until January 11, 2012, of time for the	
United States to file supplemental brief.	
Two-day extension, until February 15, 2012, of time for	
the State to respond	
MOVING PARTY: United States (Intervenor)   Plaintiff Defendant   Appellant/Petitioner Appellee/Respondent	<b>OPPOSING PARTY:</b> <u>New York State and Local Retirement Sy</u>
MOVING ATTORNEY: Sasha Samberg-Champion	OPPOSING ATTORNEY: Laura R. Johnson
[name of attorney, with firm, ac United States Department of Justice, Civil Rights Divisior Ben Franklin Station / P.O. Box 14403 Washington, DC 20044-4403 (202) 307-0714 / sasha.samberg-champion@usdoj.gov	Idress, phone number and e-mail]Office of the Attorney General of the State of New York120 Broadway Avenue, 25th FloorNew York, NY 10271(212) 416-6184 / laura.johnson@ag.ny.gov
Court-Judge/Agency appealed from: U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of NY - Judge Feuerstein	
Please check appropriate boxes:	FOR EMERGENCY MOTIONS, MOTIONS FOR STAYS AND
Has movant notified opposing counsel (required by Local Rule 27.1):	INJUNCTIONS PENDING APPEAL:   Has request for relief been made below?   Has this relief been previously sought in this Court?   Yes   No   Requested return date and explanation of emergency:
Opposing counsel's position on motion: Unopposed Opposed Oprix Know Does opposing counsel intend to file a response: Yes No Oprix Know	
Is oral argument on motion requested? Is vo (requests for oral argument will not necessarily be granted)	
Has argument date of appeal been set?	r date:
Signature of Moving Attorney: S7 Sasna Samberg-Champio Date: 1/5/12	Has service been effected? Yes No [Attach proof of service]
ORDER	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the motion is GRANTED DENIED.	
	FOR THE COURT: CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE, Clerk of Court
Date:	Ву:
Form T-1080	

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

No. 11-2215

MARY JO C.,

Plaintiff-Appellant

v.

# NEW YORK STATE AND LOCAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM, CENTRAL ISLIP PUBLIC LIBRARY,

Defendants-Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

INTERVENOR UNITED STATES' MOTION FOR TWO-DAY EXTENSION OF BRIEFING SCHEDULE REGARDING STATE'S CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE

The United States intervened in this case to defend the constitutionality of

the abrogation of state sovereign immunity accomplished by Title II of the

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), 42 U.S.C. 12131 et seq. It now asks for a

two-day extension of the briefing schedule this Court set for the State's

constitutional challenge, such that the United States has until January 11, 2012, to

file a supplemental brief and the State has until February 15, 2012, to respond. In

support of this motion, the United States submits the following:

1. This appeal arises out of a claim by plaintiff-appellant that both defendants-the New York State and Local Retirement System (the 'State') and the Central Islip Public Library (the 'Library')-violated her rights under Title II. The district court dismissed her complaint, finding that she had failed to state a claim against either party. It also found that Title II does not validly abrogate the State's sovereign immunity, simply because of that failure to state a claim.

2. Plaintiff appealed, and the United States intervened in defense of the constitutionality of Title II's abrogation of sovereign immunity.<sup>1</sup> When the State raised new arguments on the subject in its response brief, the United States requested permission to file a supplemental brief. This Court granted the United States until January 9, 2012, to file that brief, and the State until February 13, 2012, to respond.

3. The workload of the Civil Rights Division makes it difficult for the United States to meet its January 9 deadline. Accordingly, the United States asks this Court for two additional days, until January 11, 2012. It does not anticipate asking for further time.

4. The State consents to this relief, so long as its time to respond also is

- 2 -

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The United States also filed as *amicus curiae* in support of plaintiff's Title II claim against the State. The United States does not seek to file anything further in that capacity.

extended two days, until February 15, 2012.

5. Accordingly, the United States asks this Court to extend by two days its briefing schedule for the State's constitutional challenge to Title II of the ADA, such that the United States has until January 11, 2012, to file a supplemental brief, and the State has until February 15, 2012, to respond.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS E. PEREZ Assistant Attorney General

s/ SASHA SAMBERG-CHAMPION JESSICA DUNSAY SILVER SASHA SAMBERG-CHAMPION Attorneys Department of Justice Civil Rights Division Appellate Section Ben Franklin Station P.O. Box 14403 Washington, DC 20044-4403 (202) 307-0714

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on January 5, 2012, I electronically filed the foregoing INTERVENOR UNITED STATES' MOTION FOR TWO-DAY EXTENSION OF BRIEFING SCHEDULE REGARDING STATES CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system. I further certify that all participants in this case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

> <u>s/ SASHA SAMBERG-CHAMPION</u> SASHA SAMBERG-CHAMPION Attorney