IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

The Authors Guild, Inc., Associational: Plaintiff, Betty Miles, Joseph Goulden, and: Jim Bouton, individually and on behalf of: all others similarly situated,:

Case No. 12-3200

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

Google Inc.,

Defendant-Appellant.

PLAINTIFFS-APPELLEES' RESPONSE TO MOTION BY PROPOSED AMICI CURIAE COPYRIGHT HOLDERS AND ONLINE PLATFORMS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SEEK LEAVE AND FILE AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF

Plaintiffs-Appellees respectfully oppose the motion of proposed amici curiae Yahoo! Inc., Pinterest, Inc., and Electronic Arts Inc. ("Proposed Amici") for an extension of time to seek leave and file an amicus curiae brief.

This case has received extensive media coverage, and one of the Proposed Amici, Yahoo! Inc., objected to the proposed class settlement, and was part of a coalition that filed an amicus brief in the District Court in connection with the proposed settlement in 2009. Yet, Proposed Amici assert that it was only after Google filed its opening brief on November 9, 2012 that they "coalesced around a decision to furnish their own perspectives." Decl. of Andrew P. Bridges in Support of Motion for Extension of Time, ¶ 5. Further, Google's arguments in opposition to class certification have been thoroughly presented in the District Court, as well as in a Rule 23(f) petition and reply, docketed on June 14, 2012 and July 11, 2012, respectively.

Proposed Amici have had more than ample time to prepare an amicus brief, and we see no reason why Proposed Amici could not have filed their brief within the time permitted by the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, as did the other amicus curiae (Docket Nos. 55 & 57).

_

¹ This litigation has garnered widespread publicity, including both Judge Chin's class certification opinion and Google's appeal thereof. *See*, *e.g.*, Larry Neumeister, *NY judge grants class status in Google book fight*, BLOOMBERG BUSINESSWEEK, May 31, 2012, http://www.businessweek.com/ap/2012-05/D9V414F82.htm; *Second Circuit Allows Google to Appeal Class Certification in Google Books Cases*, BLOOMBERG, August 15, 2012, http://www.bna.com/second-circuit-allows-n12884911182/; Chad Bray, *Suit Over Google Book Scanning Delayed on Appeal*, WALL STREET JOURNAL, September 17, 2012, http://online.wsj.com/article/SB1000087239639044399560457800225024 62740 18.html, among others.

Proposed Amici assert that they need additional time to "prepare a brief that will be helpful in aiding the Court's understanding of the unique pressures on, and distortions of, intellectual property and related litigation that class actions cause." Decl. of Andrew P. Bridges in Support of Motion for Extension of Time, ¶ 6. Counsel for the parties, having considerable experience in these matters and having litigated this case now for seven years, are well-equipped to present the issues before this Court. Similarly, Proposed Amici's argument that extra time is necessary for its counsel² to "articulate the views of several different companies" in one brief is equally unpersuasive. Accordingly, Proposed Amici's motion for an extension to file an amicus brief should be denied.

Dated: November 21, 2012 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael J. Boni Michael J. Boni BONI & ZACK LLC 15 St. Asaphs Road Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004 Tel: (610) 822-0200

Fax: (610) 822-0206 mboni@bonizack.com

3

² Counsel for the proposed movants, Andrew P. Bridges, is no stranger to Google, having represented Google against the copyright infringement claims in *Perfect 10 v. Amazon.com*, *Inc.*, 508 F.3d 1146 (9th Cir. 2007).

Robert J. LaRocca KOHN SWIFT & GRAF, P.C. One South Broad Street, Suite 2100 Philadelphia, PA 19107

Tel: (215) 238-1700 Fax: (215) 238-1968 rlarocca@kohnswift.com

Sanford P. Dumain MILBERG LLP One Pennsylvania Plaza New York, NY 10119 Tel: (212) 594-5300 Fax: (212) 868-1229

Fax: (212) 868-1229 sdumain@milberg.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs-Appellees