

1 POOLER, *Circuit Judge*:

2           While we express no view on the substantive reasonableness of Powers'  
3 sentence, I write separately in order to call the district court's attention to the  
4 need to, as always, give appropriate weight to all of the Section 3553(a) factors on  
5 *de novo* resentencing. *See United States v. Dorvee*, 616 F.3d 174, 183-84 (2d Cir.  
6 2010) (suggesting the district court gave too much weight to the 'need to protect  
7 the public' factor in sentencing an individual for distribution of child  
8 pornography where the court noted that an individual who repeatedly had sex  
9 with a child would have faced a far more lenient sentence).

10           Accordingly, I respectfully concur.