## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 12-3941

KEMPIS P. SONGSTER

v.

SECRETARY PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS; DAVID DIGUGLIELMO; THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY PHILADELPHIA; THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA,

Appellants

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (No. 2-04-cv-05916)

District Judge: Hon. Timothy J. Savage

Submitted Pursuant to Third Circuit LAR 34.1(a)
March 8, 2016

Before: CHAGARES, VANASKIE, and SHWARTZ, Circuit Judges.

## JUDGMENT ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

This cause came to be considered on the record from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and was submitted pursuant to Third Circuit LAR 34.1(a) on March 8, 2016.

While on appeal, the United States Supreme Court decided <u>Montgomery v.</u>

<u>Louisiana</u>, 577 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2016). Appellee now seeks remand and represents to the Court

that Appellants are in agreement that remand is appropriate. We hold that the District

Court should have the opportunity to consider the implications of Montgomery in the first

instance. For that reason, it is now hereby ORDERED and ADJUDGED by this Court

that the District Court's order dated September 6, 2012 is VACATED and REMANDED

for proceedings not inconsistent with Montgomery.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Michael A. Chagares

Circuit Judge

ATTEST:

s/Marcia M. Waldron

Clerk

Dated: March 15, 2016