

DLD-276

NOT PRECEDENTIALUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 14-2058

IN RE: IMANI ABDUS-SABIR,
Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
(Related to D.C. Civil Action No. 13-cv-04773)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.
June 12, 2014Before: SMITH, HARDIMAN and NYGAARD, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: June 20, 2014)

OPINION

PER CURIAM

Petitioner Imani Abdus-Sabir filed in this Court a petition for writ of mandamus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1651, seeking an order that the United States District Court of New Jersey be compelled to rule on his motions for the appointment of counsel and for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. He then filed a similar petition in the District Court, along with a renewed application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Subsequently, by order entered on June 9, 2014, the District Court ruled on the motion for the appointment of

counsel and the renewed application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Accordingly, we will deny Abdus-Sabir's mandamus petition as moot. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996).¹

¹ The District Court denied the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* on the ground that a portion of the renewed application was illegible. Because the District Court's dismissal of Abdus-Sabir's complaint was without prejudice, he is not precluded from pursuing his case there by once again filing a complaint accompanied by a legible version of the documents required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2).