Christine Bernat v. New Jersey Department of Corre, et al

Doc. 3012654942

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 16-2536

CHRISTINE BERNAT,
Appellant

v.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS; WILLIAM HAUCK, administrator of the Edna Mahan Correctional Facility for Women; ERICK MELGAR, all individually and in their capacity as a current and/or State Correctional Officer; JANETTE BENNETT, all individually and in their capacity as a current and/or former State Correctional Officer; ALFRED E. SMALLS, all individually and in their capacity as a current and/or former State Correctional Officer; JEFFREY S. ELLIS, all individually and in their capacity as a current and/or former State Correctional Officer; LANCE K. JOHNSON, all individually and in their capacity as a current and/or former State Correctional Officer; JOHN DOE 1-10; JOHN DOE ENTITY 1-10

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (D.C. No. 12-cv-02649) District Judge: Honorable Michael A. Shipp

Submitted Pursuant to Third Circuit L.A.R. 34.1(a) June 8, 2017

Before: CHAGARES, VANASKIE, and FUENTES Circuit Judges.

(Filed June 20, 2017)

JUDGMENT ORDER

Following the District Court's grant of summary judgment on October 28, 2015 as to defendants State of New Jersey Department of Correction, William Hauck, Jeffrey S.

Case: 16-2536 Document: 003112654942 Page: 2 Date Filed: 06/20/2017

Ellis, and Lance K. Johnson and entries of stipulations of dismissal as to defendants

Alfred E. Smalls and Erick Melgar on April 19, 2016, plaintiff Christine Bernat filed this

appeal.

Bernat requested entry of default against defendant Jannette Bennett earlier in the

litigation, on October 24, 2013. The clerk entered default as to Bennett the following

day. However, no default judgment was ever entered as to Bennett.

This Court requested supplemental briefing from the parties. The parties agreed

that final judgment had not been entered against Bennett. Counsel for appellees also

argued that the stipulations of dismissal as to Smalls and Melgar were not with prejudice

and thus also do not constitute final judgments.

Having reviewed the record below, the Court agrees that there is no final judgment

as to Bennett and, thus, this Court lacks jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291 and will

dismiss the appeal on that basis. The Court declines to make a ruling as to the finality of

the claims against Smalls and Melgar as it is not necessary for the dismissal at this time.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED and ADJUDGED by this Court that this appeal is

dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. The parties are to bear their own costs.

BY THE COURT:

s/Michael A. Chagares

Circuit Judge

ATTEST:

s/ Marcia M. Waldron

Clerk

Dated: June 20, 2017