Michael Norwood v. USA Doc. 3012508846

Case: 16-3865 Document: 003112508846 Page: 1 Date Filed: 01/11/2017

## **ALD-059**

## **NOT PRECEDENTIAL**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 16-3865

\_\_\_\_\_

IN RE: MICHAEL NORWOOD,
Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (Related to D.N.J. Civ. No. 1-15-cv-02996)

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P. December 1, 2016

Before: MCKEE, JORDAN and RESTREPO, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: January 11, 2017)

\_\_\_\_\_

OPINION\*

\_\_\_\_\_

## PER CURIAM

Michael Norwood filed a petition for a writ of mandamus seeking an order directing the District Court to rule on his amended motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. The District Court denied that motion on November 28, 2016. Thus, because Norwood has

\* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

received all of the relief he requested, his petition is now moot. We will therefore dismiss it. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996).