

HLD-011

NOT PRECEDENTIALUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 17-2859

IN RE: RAYMOND EDWARD CHESTNUT,
Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the
United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania
(Related to M.D. Pa. Civ. No. 3:16-cv-00097)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.
September 28, 2017Before: SMITH, Chief Judge, MCKEE and RENDELL, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: November 15, 2017)

OPINION*

PER CURIAM

Raymond Chestnut filed a petition for writ of mandamus requesting that we direct the District Court to enter judgment on his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition filed in Chestnut v. Ebbert, M.D. Pa. Civ. No. 3:16-cv-00097. The District Court has since terminated the case, effectively granting Chestnut's motion for voluntary dismissal. In light of the District

* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

Court's action, the question Chestnut presented is no longer a live controversy, so we will dismiss his mandamus petition as moot. See, e.g., Lusardi v. Xerox Corp., 975 F.2d 964, 974 (3d Cir. 1992).