## NOT PRECEDENTIAL

## CLD-311

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 20-2648

IN RE: LOUIS NEPTUNE, Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (Related to Civ. No. 3:17-cv-12057)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P. September 24, 2020 Before: JORDAN, KRAUSE and MATEY, <u>Circuit Judges</u>

(Opinion filed: October 29, 2020)

### **OPINION**\*

#### PER CURIAM

Louis Neptune has filed a petition for a writ of mandamus requesting that we direct the District Court to rule on several pending motions. The District Court has since ruled on those motions, and Neptune has filed a notice of appeal. In light of the District Court's

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

action, the question Neptune presented is no longer a live controversy, so we will dismiss his mandamus petition as moot. <u>See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp.</u>, 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996) ("If developments occur during the course of adjudication that eliminate a plaintiff's personal stake in the outcome of a suit or prevent a court from being able to grant the requested relief, the case must be dismissed as moot.")