### UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

# No. 05-1394

PRAMCO II, LLC; EMIL HIRSCH; O'CONNOR & HANNAN, LLP,

Plaintiffs - Appellees,

and

AMMENDALE LIVING TRUST,

Garnishee,

versus

DAVID M. KISSI, Individually and in his capacity as Co-Trustee of the Ammendale Living Trust,

Defendant - Appellant,

and

EDITH TRUVILLION KISSI, Individually and in her capacity as Co-Trustee of the Ammendale Living Trust; AMMENDALE LIVING TRUST,

Defendants,

#### versus

CHRISTOPHER B. MEAD; RICHARD M. KREMEN; JOSE ANDRADE,

Parties in Interest.

No. 05-1917

PRAMCO II, LLC,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

and

EMIL HIRSCH; O'CONNOR & HANNAN, LLP,

Plaintiffs,

#### versus

DAVID M. KISSI, Individually and in his capacity as Co-Trustee of the Ammendale Living Trust,

Defendant - Appellant,

and

EDITH TRUVILLION KISSI, Individually and in her capacity as Co-Trustee of the Ammendale Living Trust; AMMENDALE LIVING TRUST;

Defendants,

and

AMMENDALE LIVING TRUST,

Garnishee,

versus

CHRISTOPHER MEAD; RICHARD M. KREMEN; JOSE ANDRADE,

Parties in Interest.

No. 05-4371

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

DAVID KISSI,

Defendant - Appellant,

and

EDITH TRUVILLION KISSI,

Defendant,

versus

PRAMCO II, LLC,

Party in Interest.

Decided: May 25, 2006

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt. Peter J. Messitte, District Judge. (CA-03-2241-8-PJM; CR-03-473-PJM)

Submitted: May 18, 2006

Before WIDENER and WILKINSON, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

- 3 -

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

David M. Kissi, Appellant Pro Se. Emil Hirsch, James Patrick Ryan, O'CONNOR & HANNAN, LLP, Washington, D.C.; Christopher Bowmar Mead, LONDON & MEAD, Washington, D.C., for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

In these consolidated appeals, David M. Kissi seeks to appeal from the district court's orders denying his motions for reconsideration of the denial of his motion for leave to file suit against Emil Hirsch, and denying his motion to disqualify the district court judge. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2000), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2000); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541 (1949); see also Pollard v. United States, 352 U.S. 354, 358 (1957) (criminal appeal generally premature until sentence has been entered). The orders Kissi seeks to appeal are neither final interlocutory or collateral orders. orders nor appealable Accordingly, we dismiss the appeals for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

## DISMISSED