UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No.	05-5170

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

EARL ALBERT MOORE,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia, at Beckley. David A. Faber, Chief District Judge. (CR-05-60)

Submitted: May 18, 2006 Decided: May 26, 2006

Before WIDENER and WILKINSON, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Mary Lou Newberger, Federal Public Defender, Jonathan D. Byrne, Appellate Counsel, Charleston, West Virginia, for Appellant. Charles T. Miller, Acting United States Attorney, John L. File, Assistant United States Attorney, Beckley, West Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Earl Albert Moore pled guilty to armed bank robbery in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2113(a), (d) (2000). He was sentenced to a term of 216 months imprisonment and ordered to make restitution of \$21. Moore appeals his sentence, contending that his sentence is unreasonable because it is longer than necessary to comply with the factors set out in 18 U.S.C.A. § 3553(a) (West 2000 & Supp. 2005). We conclude that the district court sentenced Moore only after appropriately considering the sentencing guidelines and the § 3553(a) factors, as instructed by United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220 (2005). The court sentenced Moore within the applicable quideline range and below the twenty-five year statutory maximum term. We cannot conclude that, under these circumstances, Moore's sentence is unreasonable. See United States v. Green, 436 F.3d 449, 457 (4th Cir. 2006) (finding that sentence imposed within properly calculated guideline range is presumptively reasonable), petition for cert. filed, April 17, 2006 (No. 05-10474).

We therefore affirm the sentence imposed by the district court. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED