

UNPUBLISHEDUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 06-1898

ROSE C. POWELL,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

TONY A. KELLER; JORGE SOSA; JASON COY REID; TIMOTHY JAMES BREWER; LARRY WATERS; WILLIAM A. BRAFFORD; GRETCHEN C. F. SHAPPERT; RICHARD L. VOORHEES; MAGISTRATE JUDGE CARL HORN; GREGORY A. FOREST; JAYME MILLER; UNITED STATES MARSHAL SERVICE; CATAWBA COUNTY; CATAWBA COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS; SHERIFF OF CATAWBA COUNTY; CATAWBA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; COLDWELL BANKER; BOYD HASSELL INDUSTRIAL COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES; ELVALORIE MATTHEWS; RICHARD McDONNELL; MARK T. CALLOWAY; NEWTON POLICE DEPARTMENT; CONOVER POLICE DEPARTMENT,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, at Statesville. Graham C. Mullen, Senior District Judge. (5:03-cv-00160)

Submitted: November 15, 2006

Decided: November 17, 2006

Before WIDENER, WILKINSON, and MOTZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Rose C. Powell, Appellant Pro Se. James Redfern Morgan, Jr., WOMBLE, CARLYLE, SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC, Winston-Salem, North

Carolina; Elizabeth Ann Martineau, HEDRICK, EATMAN, GARDNER & KINCHELOE, Charlotte, North Carolina; Scott Douglas MacLatchie, WOMBLE, CARLYLE, SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC, Charlotte, North Carolina; Jennifer Ann Youngs, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Charlotte, North Carolina; William Anthony Navarro, WISHART, NORRIS, HENNINGER & PITTMAN, Charlotte, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Rose C. Powell appeals the district court's order denying relief on her motion for a hearing, her civil rights complaint, and her motion for appointment of counsel. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. According, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. See Powell v. Keller, No. 5:03-CV-00160-5-MU (W.D.N.C. Aug. 1, 2006). We deny Powell's motions for judicial notice and to dismiss defendant-appellee's motion for summary judgment and informal briefs. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED