## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_	No. 06-6130	_
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		Plaintiff - Appellee,
versus		ridineili nppeliee,
RAUL ESTELA,		
		Defendant - Appellant.
Appeal from the United St Maryland, at Baltimore. (1:03-cr-00178-CCB; 1:05-0	Catherine C	- Court for the District of . Blake, District Judge.
Submitted: March 23, 2006		Decided: March 31, 2006
Before WILKINSON, LUTTIG,	and WILLIAMS,	- Circuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished p	per curiam opir	nion.
Raul Estela, Appellant Pro	o Se.	_
Unpublished opinions are See Local Rule 36(c).	not binding p	recedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Raul Estela seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his petition filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 An appeal may not be taken from the final order in a § 2255 proceeding unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2000). certificate of appealability will not issue for claims addressed by a district court absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2000). A prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists that the district court's assessment find debatable or wrong and that constitutional claims is dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. See Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Estela has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Estela's motion for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**