UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

―――――――――――

No. 06-6621

―――――――――――

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

SHANE COWLEY,

Defendant - Appellant.

―――――――――――

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia, at Charleston. John T. Copenhaver, Jr., District Judge. (2:99-cr-00170; 2:02-cv-00402)

―――――――――――

Submitted: June 15, 2006   Decided: June 21, 2006

―――――――――――

Before KING, SHEDD, and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges.

―――――――――――

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

―――――――――――

Shane Cowley, Appellant Pro Se. Kasey Warner, United States Attorney, Michael Lee Keller, Assistant United States Attorney, Charleston, West Virginia, for Appellee.

―――――――――――

PER CURIAM:

Shane Cowley seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2000) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2000). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2000). A prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that any assessment of the constitutional claims by the district court is debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683-84 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Cowley has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED