

UNPUBLISHEDUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 06-6966

HARRY WALKER, a/k/a Musa Aquil,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

MORGAN E. SCOTT, JR.; BRETT BRAUMBAUGH, Postal Inspector; VIRGINIA POLICE DEPARTMENT, South Boston; MR. GRANT, New York District Attorney in charge of case 552-95; R. S. PULLIAM, Major; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, at Roanoke. James C. Turk, Senior District Judge. (7:05-cv-00010-jct)

Submitted: September 25, 2006

Decided: October 18, 2006

Before WILLIAMS, MOTZ, and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Harry Walker, Appellant Pro Se. Sara Bugbee Winn, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Roanoke, Virginia; Carlene Booth Johnson, PERRY LAW FIRM, PC, Dillwyn, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Harry Walker appeals the district court's order denying relief on his complaint filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2000) and Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Fed. Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Walker v. Scott, No. 7:05-cv-00010-jct (W.D. Va. May 4, 2006). Walker's motions for general relief are denied. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED