

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 06-7695

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

YACOB ABDUL FREEMAN,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

No. 07-7389

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

YACOB ABDUL FREEMAN,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Richmond. James R. Spencer, Chief District Judge. (3:02-cr-00060-JRS-3; 3:cv-573)

---

Submitted: December 13, 2007                      Decided: December 17, 2007

---

Before NIEMEYER, MOTZ, and SHEDD, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Yacob Abdul Freeman, Appellant Pro Se. Michael Cornell Wallace,  
OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Richmond, Virginia, for  
Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

In these consolidated appeals, Yacob Abdul Freeman seeks to appeal the district court's orders dismissing his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2000) motion as untimely and denying his subsequent Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) motion. The orders are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2000). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2000). A prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that any assessment of the constitutional claims by the district court is debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683-84 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Freeman has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny certificates of appealability and dismiss the appeals. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED