

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 07-7433**

---

DONNA JEAN DUGGINS,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA,

Respondent - Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle  
District of North Carolina, at Durham. Russell A. Eliason,  
Magistrate Judge. (1:06-cv-01054-RAE)

---

Submitted: March 25, 2008

Decided: March 27, 2008

---

Before MOTZ, KING, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Donna Jean Duggins, Appellant Pro Se. Clarence Joe DelForge, III,  
Assistant Attorney General, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Donna Jean Duggins seeks to appeal the magistrate judge's order dismissing as untimely her 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2000) petition.\* The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2000). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2000). A prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that any assessment of the constitutional claims by the district court is debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683-84 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Duggins has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

---

\*This case was decided by the magistrate judge upon consent of the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) (2000).