## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 07-7456

In Re: RONNIE R. LITTLE,

Petitioner.

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus.

Submitted: December 20, 2007 Decided: December 28, 2007

Before MICHAEL and KING, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Ronnie R. Little, Petitioner Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Ronnie R. Little petitions for a writ of mandamus seeking an order compelling the North Carolina state court to correct his sentence calculation. We conclude that Little is not entitled to mandamus relief.

Mandamus relief is available only when the petitioner has a clear right to the relief sought. <u>In re First Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n</u>, 860 F.2d 135, 138 (4th Cir. 1988). Further, mandamus is a drastic remedy and should only be used in extraordinary circumstances. <u>Kerr v. United States Dist. Court</u>, 426 U.S. 394, 402 (1976); <u>In re Beard</u>, 811 F.2d 818, 826 (4th Cir. 1987). This court does not have jurisdiction to grant mandamus relief against state officials, <u>Gurley v. Superior Court of Mecklenburg County</u>, 411 F.2d 586, 587 (4th Cir. 1969), and does not have jurisdiction to review final state court orders, <u>District of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman</u>, 460 U.S. 462, 482 (1983).

The relief sought by Little is not available by way of mandamus. Accordingly, although we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

## PETITION DENIED