

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 08-6778

WILLIAM E. ALTON, III,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

DOCTOR MATHIS, M.D.; MARYAM MESSAFORTH, P.A.; KEVIN JOHNSON, P.A.; NURSE CAROL, R.N.; CMS MEDICAL CONTRACTOR; DOCTOR BAHANNA, M.D.; MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES; EASTERN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION; KATHERINE GREEN, Warden; RONALD DRYDEN, Assistant Warden; VICTORIA BURKHARD; ROBERT HANKE, Security Chief; JOHN A. ROWLEY, Commissioner of Correctional Institution; JAMES A. SMITH, Maryland Reception Diagnostic and Classification Center, Warden; MICHAEL STOUFFER; JAMES MURPHY, Assistant; TERRANCE TAYLOR, Sergeant; CHARLES GAITHER, C.O.2; GREGORY WARD, E.C.I. Sergeant; J. TYLER, Case Management Specialist; SUPERVISOR PARKINSON; M FITCHETT; SPECIALIST ENDLINCH; LIEUTENANT DRURY, ECI-Intell; IDA Y. OSITELU, Dietary Supervisor; CONNIE SHAFT, C.D.R.M.R.D.; B. WHITTINGTON, Lieutenant; LIEUTENANT RICH0; THORNTON, C.D.O.; TILLAMN-HAYWOOD, C.D.O.; K. HUYKNH, C.D.O.; HANNAH, C.O.S; WALSKE, C.O.S.; KESSLER, C.O.2; G. R. TYLER, C.O.2; JOHNSON, C.O.2; MAJOR MAYCOCK; CAPTAIN KING; LIEUTENANT BARNES; JUDITH HATHWAY, P.A., in their individual and official capacities; GUNTER, C.O.2; BOBBY SHEARIN, Deputy Commissioner,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt. Alexander Williams, Jr., District Judge.
(8:07-cv-01499-AW)

Submitted: July 22, 2008

Decided: July 28, 2008

Before WILKINSON, MOTZ, and SHEDD, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

William E. Alton, III, Appellant Pro Se. Philip Melton Andrews, Katrina J. Dennis, KRAMON & GRAHAM, Baltimore, Maryland; Rex Schultz Gordon, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MARYLAND, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

William E. Alton, III, appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2000) complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Alton v. Mathis, No. 8:07-cv-01499-AW (D. Md. Apr. 21, 2008). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED