US v. Maurice Patton Doc. 920081201

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 08-7183

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MAURICE DANIEL PATTON, a/k/a Fats,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Jerome B. Friedman, District Judge. (2:03-cr-00148-JBF-JEB-1)

Submitted: November 20, 2008 Decided: December 1, 2008

Before MOTZ and GREGORY, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Maurice Daniel Patton, Appellant Pro Se. Laura Marie Everhart, Assistant United States Attorney, Norfolk, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Maurice Daniel Patton appeals the district court's orders denying his motion for a reduction of sentence filed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) (2006), and reconsideration We have reviewed the record and find no that order. reversible error. Accordingly, we find the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying the motion. See United States v. Goines, 357 F.3d 469, 478 (4th Cir. 2004) (motion under § 3582(c) "is subject to the discretion of the district court"); United States v. Legree, 205 F.3d 724, 727 (4th Cir. Thus, we affirm the district court's orders for the reasons stated therein. See United States v. Patton, No. 2:03cr-00148-JBF-JEB-01 (E.D. Va. June 5 & June 24, 2008). further deny Patton's request for transcripts at the Government's expense. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED