## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 08-7196

LAYTON SHARMALE CUNNINGHAM,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

ROY A. COOPER, III, Attorney General of the State of North Carolina; JAMES HARDY, Administrator, Nash Correctional Institution, Nashville, North Carolina,

Respondents - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, at Durham. James A. Beaty, Jr., Chief District Judge. (1:07-cv-00295-JAB-PTS)

Submitted: December 5, 2008 Decided: December 31, 2008

Before MICHAEL, KING, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Ann Bach Petersen, James R. Glover, GLOVER & PETERSEN, PA, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, for Appellant. Clarence Joe DelForge, III, Mary Carla Hollis, Assistant Attorneys General, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Sharmale Cunningham seeks to appeal Lavton district court's order accepting the recommendation of magistrate judge and denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § (2000) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2000). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2000). prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that any assessment of constitutional claims by the district court is debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683-84 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Cunningham has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. dispense with oral argument because the facts and contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

## DISMISSED