US v. Scott Rendelman Doc. 920090122

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 08-7646

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

SCOTT LEWIS RENDELMAN,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt. Roger W. Titus, District Judge. (8:07-cr-00331-RWT-1; 8:08-cv-01832-RWT)

Submitted: January 15, 2009 Decided: January 22, 2009

Before MOTZ and SHEDD, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Scott Lewis Rendelman, Appellant Pro Se. Stacy Dawson Belf, James Marton Trusty, Assistant United States Attorneys, Greenbelt, Maryland, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Scott Lewis Rendelman seeks to appeal the district court's order denying his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2000) motion as premature. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. § 2253(c)(1) (2000). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2000).prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating reasonable jurists would find that any assessment of the constitutional claims by the district court is debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683-84 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Rendelman has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. dispense with oral argument because the facts and contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED