

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 08-7692**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

WILLIAM HAMILTON, JR.,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Beaufort. Sol Blatt, Jr., Senior District Judge. (9:00-cr-00263-SB-7)

---

Submitted: November 3, 2008

Decided: November 17, 2008

---

Before MICHAEL, GREGORY, and SHEDD, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

William Hamilton, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. Peter Thomas Phillips, Assistant United States Attorney, Charleston, South Carolina, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

William Hamilton, Jr., appeals a district court order granting his motion filed under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) (2006). Hamilton claims his counsel was ineffective for failing to ask the court to consider the sentencing factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (2006). He also claims the court erred by not sua sponte considering the § 3553(a) factors, in light of Kimbrough v. United States, 128 S. Ct. 558 (2007), Gall v. United States, 128 S. Ct. 586 (2007), and United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220 (2005). Because Hamilton fails to show he was prejudiced by counsel's conduct or that he was entitled to relief beyond the two-level reduction to his offense level, we affirm the district court's order. We also deny Hamilton's motion for appointment of counsel. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED