US v. Derrick Miller Doc. 920090603

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED	STATE	ES COU	JRT OI	Z APP	EALS?
FOF	RTHE	FOURT	H CIE	RCUIT	1

No. 08-8033

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DERRICK EARL MILLER,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 08-8097

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DERRICK EARL MILLER,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Greenville. Henry F. Floyd, District Judge. (6:04-cr-00022-HFF-1; 07-cv-70068-HFF)

Submitted: May 28, 2009 Decided: June 3, 2009

Before WILKINSON, KING, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Derrick Earl Miller, Appellant Pro Se. Elizabeth Jean Howard, Assistant United States Attorney, Greenville, South Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Derrick Earl Miller seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West Supp. 2008) motion.* The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2006). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2006). A prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that any assessment of the constitutional claims by the district court is debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683-84 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Miller has made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we certificates of appealability and dismiss the appeals. dispense with oral argument because the facts and contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

^{*} Miller filed two notices of appeal from the district court's order, which were docketed as separate appeals in this court.