UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No.	08-8318

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MANUEL CARRIZOZA,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, at Asheville. Lacy H. Thornburg, District Judge. (1:02-cr-00045-LHT-1; 1:05-cv-00305-LHT)

Submitted: June 10, 2009 Decided: June 30, 2009

Before MOTZ, KING, and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Henry Marines, LAW OFFICE OF HENRY MARINES, Miami, Florida, for Appellant. Jill Westmoreland Rose, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Asheville, North Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Manuel Carrizoza seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West Supp. 2009) The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. § 2253(c)(1) (2006). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent substantial showing of the denial of "a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2006).prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating reasonable jurists would find that any assessment of the constitutional claims by the district court is debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683-84 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Carrizoza has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. dispense with oral argument because the facts and contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED