## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

## No. 09-2156

ROSA LEE PRINGLE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

DANIEL H. MARTIN, JR., a/k/a Daniel E. Martin, Sr., a/k/a Former Judge Daniel E. Martin, Sr., Former Atty Daniel E. Martin, Sr., Nickname Atty Danny Jr,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Charleston. Patrick Michael Duffy, District Judge. (2:08-cv-02799-PMD)

Submitted: December 17, 2009 Decided: December 28, 2009

Before WILKINSON, NIEMEYER, and AGEE, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Rosa Lee Pringle, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM

Rosa Lee Pringle seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying relief on her 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) complaint and denying her Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) motion for relief from judgment. We dismiss.

Parties are accorded thirty days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. 4(a)(5), or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." <u>Bowles v. Russell</u>, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007). Pringle filed her notice of appeal more than thirty days after the entry of both the original judgment and the denial of reconsideration. Further, she failed to properly move for an extension of time or for reopening of the appeal period. Therefore, we dismiss the appeal of these orders as untimely.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

## DISMISSED

2