UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 09-2386

KEITH A. COUSINS,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

MOVIE GALLERY, INC., formerly doing business as Game Crazy, formerly doing business as M.G. Midwest, formerly doing business as M.G.A., Inc., formerly doing business Moovies, Inc., formerly doing business as Movie Gallery Asset Management, Inc., formerly doing business as Movie Gallery Finance, Inc., formerly doing business as M.G.A. Realty 1, Inc., formerly doing business as Movie Gallery Licensing, Inc., formerly doing business as Movie Gallery Promotions, Inc., formerly doing business as Movie Gallery Services, Inc., formerly doing business as Movie Gallery US, Inc., formerly doing business as MovieGallery.com, Inc., formerly doing business as Video Library, Inc., formerly doing business as Video Update, Inc.; HOLLYWOOD ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION; M.G. DIGITAL, LLC; M.G.A. REALTY I, LLC; MG AUTOMATION LLC; MOVIE GALLERY US, LLC,

Respondents - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Richmond. Douglas O. Tice, Jr., Chief Bankruptcy Judge. (07-33849)

Submitted: November 18, 2010 Decided: December 13, 2010

Before NIEMEYER, GREGORY, and WYNN, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Romaine S. Scott, III, HASKELL SLAUGHTER YOUNG & REDIKER, LLC, Birmingham, Alabama, for Appellant. Michael A. Condyles, Peter J. Barrett, Jeremy S. Williams, KUTAK ROCK LLP, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Keith A. Cousins appeals from the bankruptcy court's orders holding him in contempt for filing a lawsuit in violation of the releases contained within the Order of Confirmation of Appellees' Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Plan, and reconsideration of that order.* Our review of the record and the briefs filed by the parties discloses no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the bankruptcy court. Cousins v. Movie Gallery, Inc., No. 07-33849 (Bankr. E.D. Va. Sept. 25 & Nov. 17, 2009). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

^{*} We previously granted the parties' petition for permission to appeal directly to this court from the bankruptcy court. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8001(f)(2)(B).