

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 09-6701**

---

DAN TEMPLE, JR.,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

SCDC DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL; WARDEN T. RILEY, TRCI,

Respondents - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
South Carolina, at Beaufort. Terry L. Wooten, District Judge.  
(9:08-cv-00692-TLW)

---

Submitted: August 13, 2009

Decided: November 3, 2009

---

Before NIEMEYER and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior  
Circuit Judge.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Dan Temple, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. William Edgar Salter, III,  
Assistant Attorney General, Columbia, South Carolina, for  
Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Dan Temple, Jr. seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2006) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2006). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2006). A prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that any assessment of the constitutional claims by the district court is debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683-84 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude Temple has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We also deny Temple's motion for rehearing and for a determination of fact and law. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED