UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 09-7384

STEVEN WAYNE GOODMAN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

ROBINSON, Regional B. EVERETT, Warden, SIISP; D. Director, Eastern District of the DOC; GENE JOHNSON, Director of the DOC; K. BASSETT, Warden, Keen Mountain Correctional Center; PIXLEY, Assistant Warden, SIISP; MR. Correctional Officer, KMCC; R. KMCC; JOHN/JANE Institutional Ombudsman, DOE, Regional Ombudsman, Western Region of the DOC; K. PICKEREL, Assistant Warden, KMCC; L. HUFFMAN, Regional Director, Western Region of the DOC; G. ROBINSON, Manager, Ombudsman Services United for the DOC; JOHN JABE, Deputy Director of DOC; HAYES, Institutional Investigator, SIISP; HARRIS, Housing Unit Manager and Institutional Classification Authority-Special Housing Unit; J. HARRIS, Treatment Programs Supervisor; OFFICER GILMORE, Security, SIISP; JOHN/JANE DOE, #2, Central Classification Services for the DOC; G. BASS, Manager, Offender Management OFFICER BARBOUR, Office Service Specialist, Services; SIISP; MASSENBURG, Institutional Ombudsman, SIISP; ROLLINS, Operations Officer, SIISP; G. SIVELS, Regional Ombudsman Eastern Region of the DOC; NICHOLS, Psychologist, SIISP; RIVERS, Psychologist, SIISP; GENERAL, Psychiatrist, SIISP; L. STANDFORD, Employee of Prison Health Services and Health Service Administrator at SIISP; S. TAYLOR, Employee of Prison Health Services and Director of Nursing at SIISP; K. WATSON, Director of Audits and Regulation Compliance for Prison Health Services; C. COUTHER, Regional Nurse Administrator, Eastern Region of the DOC,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Richmond. Richard L. Williams, Senior District Judge. (3:06-cv-00849-RLW)

Submitted: April 29, 2011 Decided: June 28, 2011

Before KING, DUNCAN, and AGEE, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Steven Wayne Goodman, Appellant Pro Se. Mark R. Davis, Assistant Attorney General, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Steven Wayne Goodman appeals from the district court's granting summary judgment to Defendants, denying his reconsideration, and denying his miscellaneous motions. We have reviewed the district court's rulings, the record, and Goodman's claims on appeal, and we find reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated bу the district court. Goodman v. Everett, No. 3:06-cv-00849-RLW (E.D. Va. Sept. 30, 2008; May 1, May 13 & June 18, 2009).

We also note that, while Goodman filed a Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(d) affidavit seeking discovery prior to a ruling on Defendants' summary judgment motion, Goodman's motion failed to show that discovery would develop evidence crucial to material issues before the court. See Program Eng'g, Inc. v. Triangle Publ'ns, Inc., 634 F.2d 1188, 1193 (9th Cir. 1980) (discussing prior version of Rule 56). Thus, the district court did not err in denying the motion. We deny Goodman's motions for a stay and appointment of counsel, and grant his motion to amend his informal brief. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED