

UNPUBLISHEDUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 09-7414

NEKITA ANTONIO WHITE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

ANTONIO PARHAM, WTRJ Officer; MR. MASKELONY, WTRJ Officer;
MR. LENYON, WTRJ Officer; MR. DUNN, WTRJ Officer; MR.
PERKER, WTRJ Officer; MR. EZELL, WTRJ Officer; MR. ROBERTS,
WTRJ Officer; JOHNSON, WTRJ Officer; MOFFET, WTRJ Officer,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern
District of Virginia, at Alexandria. T. S. Ellis, III, Senior
District Judge. (1:09-cv-00320-TSE-TCB)

Submitted: January 19, 2010

Decided: January 27, 2010

Before NIEMEYER, KING, and DAVIS, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Nekita Antonio White, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Nekita Antonio White seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing without prejudice his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) complaint for failure to follow the court's earlier order requiring him to particularize and amend his complaint. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2006), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders. 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2006); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541, 545-46 (1949). Because White's complaint lacked specificity and he failed to remedy this fact by filing an amended complaint that articulated adequate facts, we conclude that the order White seeks to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order. See Domino Sugar Corp. v. Sugar Workers Local Union 392, 10 F.3d 1064, 1066 (4th Cir. 1993). Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED