UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 09-7496

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ANTHONY LEWIS GLENN,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Wilmington. James C. Fox, Senior District Judge. (5:98-cr-00023-F-1)

Submitted: April 27, 2010 Decided: May 11, 2010

Before WILKINSON and NIEMEYER, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Anthony Lewis Glenn, Appellant Pro Se. Anne Margaret Hayes, Rudolf A. Renfer, Jr., Assistant United States Attorneys, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Anthony Lewis Glenn appeals from the district court's order granting his 18 U.S.C. § 3582 (2006) motion for a sentence reduction based upon the crack cocaine amendments to Sentencing Guidelines. On appeal, Glenn asserts that, given the changes in law since he was originally sentenced, the court should have recalculated his Guidelines range without certain enhancements. However, "proceedings under § 3582(c)(2) do not constitute a full resentencing of the defendant. . . . Rather, § 3582(c)(2) and U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10 are narrow provisions that allow a limited reduction of sentence by the amount specified in an amendment, while prohibiting a complete reevaluation." United States v. Dunphy, 551 F.3d 247, 251-52 (4th Cir.) (internal quotation marks omitted), cert. denied, 129 S. Ct. 2401 (2009). In addition, the district court may only consider the retroactive amendment, not any other the effect of sentencing or Guidelines issues. U.S. Sentencing Guidelines Manual § 1B1.10, p.s., comment. (n.2) (2009). Accordingly, we affirm. We deny Glenn's motion for appointment of counsel and dispense with oral argument because the facts and contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED