UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 09-7748

GEORGE GRANT, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

DAVID CRENSHAW; JOEY PRESTON; CHRISSY T. ADAMS,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Beaufort. G. Ross Anderson, Jr., Senior District Judge. (9:08-cv-02696-GRA)

Submitted: December 15, 2009 Decided: December 22, 2009

Before MICHAEL and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

George Grant, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. James Victor McDade, DOYLE, O'ROURKE, TATE & MCDADE, PA, Anderson, South Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

George Grant appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) complaint. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) (2006). The magistrate judge recommended that relief be denied and advised Grant that failure to file timely, specific objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation. Despite this warning, Grant failed to make specific objections to the magistrate judge's recommendation.

timely filing of specific objections The judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve magistrate appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when parties have warned of the the been consequences Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, noncompliance. (4th Cir. 1985); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Grant has waived appellate review by failing to file specific objections after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, affirm the judgment of the district court.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED