UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_____

No. 09-8248

_____

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

        Plaintiff - Appellee,

    v.

ERIC AARON LYLES,

        Defendant - Appellant.

_____

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
Maryland, at Greenbelt.   Peter J. Messitte, Senior District
Judge. (8:03-cr-00484-PJM-4; 8:08-cv-03425-PJM)

_____

Submitted: May 20, 2010   Decided: May 25, 2010

_____

Before WILKINSON, NIEMEYER, and DAVIS, Circuit Judges.

_____

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

_____

Eric Aaron Lyles, Appellant Pro Se. Gina Simms, Assistant United
States Attorney, Greenbelt, Maryland, for Appellee.

_____

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Eric Aaron Lyles seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West Supp. 2009) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2006). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2006). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Slack, 529 U.S. at 484-85. We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Lyles has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials

before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED