

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 10-1133

YURI J. STOYANOV,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

RAYMOND E. MABUS, Secretary of the Navy; CHARLES BEHRLE, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of the Carderock Division, Naval Surface Warfare Center; GARY M. JEBSEN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 70, Carderock Division, Naval Surface Warfare Center; GERALD A. SMITH, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Deputy Head of Code 70, Carderock Division, Naval Surface Warfare Center; KEVIN M. WILSON, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 74, Carderock Division, Naval Surface Warfare Center; JOHN C. DAVIES, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Deputy Head of Code 74; BRUCE CROCK, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 74, Carderock Division, Naval Surface Warfare Center; PAUL SHANG, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 72, Carderock Division, Naval Surface Warfare Division; MATHEW CRAUN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 722, Carderock Division, Naval Surface Warfare Center; M. KATHLEEN FOWLER, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Administrative Officer Code 709, Carderock Division, Naval Surface Warfare Center; DAVID CARON, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Assistant Counsel Code 39, Carderock Division, Naval Surface Warfare Center; KAREN EVANISH, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Human Resources Specialist,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. William D. Quarles, Jr., District Judge. (1:07-cv-01764-WDQ)

Submitted: June 17, 2010

Decided: June 24, 2010

Before MOTZ and KING, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Yuri J. Stoyanov, Appellant Pro Se. John Walter Sippel, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Yuri J. Stoyanov appeals the district court's order dismissing his claims against Defendants, including those brought pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e to 2000e-17 (2006), the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 621 to 634 (2006), and the Whistleblower Protection Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 1214, 1221 and 2302 (2006). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. See Stoyanov v. Mabus, No. 1:07-cv-01764-WDQ (D. Md. Dec. 9, 2009). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED