

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 10-1394**

---

MICHAEL J. SINDRAM,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

CITY OF TAKOMA PARK POLICE DEPARTMENT; K. GILBERT; MR. WOLFF,  
#8352; TERRY JOHNSON,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
Maryland, at Greenbelt. Peter J. Messitte, Senior District  
Judge. (8:10-cv-00681-PJM)

---

Submitted: July 22, 2010

Decided: July 30, 2010

---

Before NIEMEYER, GREGORY, and SHEDD, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Michael J. Sindram, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Michael J. Sindram seeks to appeal the district court's order denying his request for a preliminary injunction and dismissing his complaint without prejudice. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2006), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2006); Fed.R.Civ.P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541, 545-46, 69 S.Ct. 1221, 93 L.Ed. 1528 (1949). The order Sindram seeks to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order because it is possible for Sindram to cure the deficiencies in the complaint as noted by the district court. See Domino Sugar Corp. v. Sugar Workers Local Union 392, 10 F.3d 1064, 1067 (4th Cir. 1993).

Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED