

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 10-6027**

---

WILLIE D. WORLEY, JR.,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

TIMOTHY MCKOY, Administrator, Franklin Correctional Center,

Respondent - Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western  
District of North Carolina, at Charlotte. Graham C. Mullen,  
Senior District Judge. (3:09-cv-00484-GCM)

---

Submitted: March 16, 2010

Decided: March 24, 2010

---

Before NIEMEYER, MOTZ, and DAVIS, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Willie D. Worley, Jr., Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Willie D. Worley, Jr., seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2006) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2006). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2006). A prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that any assessment of the constitutional claims by the district court is debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683-84 (4th Cir. 2001). In his informal brief, Worley has failed to address the district court's finding that his § 2254 petition was untimely filed. Therefore, Worley has forfeited appellate review of the district court's ruling. See 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal

contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED