

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 10-6050

JULIAN EDWARD ROCHESTER,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; RICHLAND COUNTY JUDICIAL CENTER;
JEAN H. TOAL; HENRY F. FLOYD, Judge; H. B. RICHARDSON; SOUTH
CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS; H. M. HERLONG, Judge;
ROBERT S. CARR; CASEY MANNING; J. R. BARKER; NFN MCBRIDE;
1040 DEFENDANTS; BARACK OBAMA, President; SENECA DAILY
JOURNAL AND MESSENGER; ANDERSON INDEPENDENT NEWS; GREENVILLE
NEWS; THE STATE NEWSPAPER; THE POST AND COURIER; NEW YORK
TIMES; TIGERTOWN OBSERVER; KNIGHT RIDDER, INCORPORATED;
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY;
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION; JON OZMINT, Director; MARK
SANFORD, Governor; SOLICITOR GENERAL; CIVIL RIGHTS LAWYERS,
USDOJ; A. W. WOODHOUS; E STEPHENS; BILL CLINTON; GEORGE W.
BUSH; UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT; WIS TELEVISION 10,

Respondents - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
South Carolina, at Charleston. Henry M. Herlong, Jr., Senior
District Judge. (2:09-cv-03148-HMH-RSC)

Submitted: April 1, 2010

Decided: May 18, 2010

Before TRAXLER, Chief Judge, and WILKINSON and DUNCAN, Circuit
Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Julian Edward Rochester, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Julian Edward Rochester appeals the district court's order dismissing his petition for a writ of mandamus. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Rochester v. South Carolina, No. 2:09-cv-03148-HMH-SC (D.S.C. Dec. 8, 2009). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process. The petition for a writ of mandamus is denied.

AFFIRMED