Doc. 402871663

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 10-6626

PATRICK ROBINSON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

CECELIA JANISZEWSKI, Northern Regional Jail Medical Admin. Primecare Medical of West Virginia, Incorporated; JAMES SPENCER, Northern Regional Jail Administrator; UNKNOWN DEFENDANT, - Doctor working for Primecare Medical of West Virginia, Incorporated; UNKNOWN DEFENDANT 1, - Nurse, working for Primecare Medical of West Virginia, Incorporated; UNKNOWN DEFENDANT 2, - Nurse working for Primecare Medical of West Virginia, Incorporated; UNKNOWN DEFENDANT 3, - Nurse working for Primecare Medical of West Virginia, Incorporated; UNKNOWN DEFENDANT 4, - Nurse working for Primecare Medical of West Virginia, Incorporated; UNKNOWN DEFENDANT 5, - Nurse, working for Primecare Medical West Virginia, Incorporated; UNKNOWN CORRECTIONAL of OFFICER(S), No. 1, Northern Regional Jail & Correctional Facility; UNKNOWN CORRECTIONAL OFFICER(S), Number 2, Northern Regional Jail & Correctional Facility,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia, at Wheeling. Frederick P. Stamp, Jr., Senior District Judge. (5:09-cv-00064-FPS-JSK)

Submitted: June 24, 2010

Decided: July 1, 2010

Before DUNCAN, AGEE, and DAVIS, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Patrick Robinson, Appellant Pro Se. John Dorsey Hoffman, FLAHERTY, SENSABAUGH & BONASSO, PLLC, Charleston, West Virginia; Chad Marlo Cardinal, WEST VIRGINIA REGIONAL JAIL & CORRECTIONAL FACILITY AUTHORITY, Charleston, West Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Patrick Robinson appeals the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his complaint filed pursuant to <u>Bivens v. Six Unknown</u> <u>Named Agents of Fed. Bureau of Narcotics</u>, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. <u>Robinson v. Janiszewski</u>, No. 5:09-cv-00064-FPS-JSK (N.D. W. Va. Apr. 9, 2010). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED