Doc. 403103542

Case: 10-7266 Document: 13 Date Filed: 12/07/2010 Page: 1

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 10-7266

BASIL AKBAR,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

ANTHONY J. PADULA,

Respondent - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Rock Hill. David C. Norton, Chief District Judge. (0:09-cv-02338-DCN)

Submitted: November 30, 2010 Decided: December 7, 2010

Before WILKINSON, KEENAN, and WYNN, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Basil Akbar, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Case: 10-7266 Document: 13 Date Filed: 12/07/2010 Page: 2

PER CURIAM:

Basil Akbar seeks to appeal the district court's order denying his motion for a certificate of appealability. order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2006). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2006). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 debatable or wrong. (2000); see Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Slack, 529 U.S. We have independently reviewed the record and at 484-85. conclude that Akbar has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. dispense with oral argument because the facts and

Case: 10-7266 Document: 13 Date Filed: 12/07/2010 Page: 3

contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED