UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No.	10-7751

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

VINCENT MISSOURI,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Greenville. Margaret B. Seymour, District Judge. (6:00-cr-00498-MBS-1; 6:10-cv-70250-MBS)

Submitted: June 16, 2011 Decided: June 20, 2011

Before NIEMEYER and GREGORY, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Vincent Missouri, Appellant Pro Se. David Calhoun Stephens, Assistant United States Attorney, Greenville, South Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Vincent Missouri seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West Supp. 2010) The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or motion. judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2006). A certificate of appealability will not substantial showing of the denial of issue absent "a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2006). district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 When the district court denies relief on procedural (2003).grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. 529 U.S. at 484-85. We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Missouri has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Missouri's pending motions, deny certificate of appealability, and dismiss the appeal. Wе dispense with oral argument because the facts and

contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED