

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 11-1051

MARK A. PANOWICZ,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION; SHARON L. HANCOCK, in individual capacity; SHARON L. HANCOCK, Clerk of the Court, Circuit Court for Charles County (in official capacity),

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. Liam O'Grady, District Judge. (1:10-cv-00259-LO-TCB)

Submitted: June 30, 2011

Decided: July 5, 2011

Before WILKINSON, DUNCAN, and WYNN, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Mark A. Panowicz, Appellant Pro Se. Ronda Brown Esaw, MCGUIREWOODS, LLP, McLean, Virginia; Hugh Scott Curtis, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MARYLAND, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Mark A. Panowicz appeals the district court's order dismissing with prejudice his civil complaint for lack of personal jurisdiction and failure to state a claim. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2), (b)(6). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court at the hearing held on September 24, 2010. Panowicz v. Sprint Nextel Corp., No. 1:10-cv-00259-LO-TCB (E.D. Va. Dec. 17, 2010; see Mot. Hr'g 15-22, ECF No. 36). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED