UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 11-1553

STEPHEN THOMAS YELVERTON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

and

WENDELL W. WEBSTER, in his capacity as Chapter 7 Trustee in Bankruptcy for Steven Thomas Yelverton,

Plaintiff,

v.

YELVERTON FARMS, LTD; PHYLLIS EDMUNDSON; CHARLES EDMUNDSON; DEBORAH MARM,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

WADE H. ATKINSON, JR.,

Intervenor.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. Louise W. Flanagan, District Judge. (5:09-cv-00331-FL)

Submitted: November 15, 2011 Decided: November 17, 2011

Before NIEMEYER and KEENAN, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Appeal: 11-1553 Document: 18 Date Filed: 11/17/2011 Page: 2 of 3

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Stephen Thomas Yelverton, Appellant Pro Se. Ronald L. Gibson, ERWIN & ELEAZER, PA, Charlotte, North Carolina; Natalie S. Walker, WEBSTER, FREDERICKSON & BRACKSHAW, Washington, D.C.; John C. Bircher, III, WHITE & ALLEN, PA, New Bern, North Carolina; John Pierce Marshall, Matthew Scott Sullivan, WHITE & ALLEN, PA, Kinston, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 11-1553 Document: 18 Date Filed: 11/17/2011 Page: 3 of 3

PER CURIAM:

Stephen Thomas Yelverton seeks to appeal the district court orders determining that he lacked standing, denying his motion to amend, substituting the bankruptcy trustee plaintiff, and amending the case caption. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2006), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2006); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541, 545-46 (1949). The orders Yelverton seeks to appeal are neither final orders appealable interlocutory or collateral orders. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED