John Clark v. Vernon Russell
Appeal: 12-1489 Doc: 17 Filed: 08/24/2012 Pg: 1 of 2

Doc. 404049988

## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-1489

JOHN R. CLARK,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

VERNON RUSSELL; LAW FIRM OF PLUMMER, BELO & RUSSELL, PA; FOOTLOCKER, INC.,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, at Greensboro. Catherine C. Eagles, District Judge. (1:11-cv-00526-CCE-LPA)

Submitted: August 22, 2012 Decided August 24, 2012

Before WILKINSON, GREGORY, and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

John R. Clark, Appellant Pro Se. Joseph Paul Booth, III, Gabrielle Amber Pittman, SHARPLESS & STAVOLA, PA, Greensboro, North Carolina; Kerry Anne Shad, SMITH, ANDERSON, BLOUNT, DORSETT, MITCHELL & JERNIGAN, LLP, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 12-1489 Doc: 17 Filed: 08/24/2012 Pg: 2 of 2

## PER CURIAM:

John R. Clark appeals the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and dismissing his civil action. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Clark v. Russell, No. 1:11-cv-00526-CCE-LPA (M.D.N.C. Mar. 26, 2012). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED