UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-1589

EMANUEL RICHARD HOWARD,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

JERADINE CHILDS, Chief Judge 5th Cir; TIVIS THERLAND, Atty at Trial; ANNE SPEARS WALSH, 5th Cir Solicitor; WILLIAM BYARS, JR., Director of SCDC; KELA EVANS THOMAS, Director Dept PPPS,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Columbia. Joseph F. Anderson, Jr., District Judge. (3:11-cv-03418-JFA)

Submitted: November 2, 2012 Decided: November 7, 2012

Before WILKINSON, KEENAN, and THACKER, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Emanuel Richard Howard, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Emanuel Richard Howard appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) complaint. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 636(b)(1)(B) (West 2006 & Supp. 2012). The magistrate judge recommended that relief be denied and advised Howard that failure to file timely objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation.

The timely filing of specific objections judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when parties been warned the have of the consequences Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th noncompliance. Cir. 1985); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Howard has waived appellate review by failing to file objections after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED