Ralph Stewart, Jr. v. VCU Appeal: 12-1594 Doc: 12 Filed: 10/01/2012 Pg: 1 of 3

Doc. 404104319

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-1594

RALPH STEWART, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

VCU HEALTH SYSTEM AUTHORITY, formerly "VCU/VCU Health System",

Defendant - Appellee,

and

VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY; VCU/VCU HEALTH SYSTEM; VCU MEDICAL CENTER/MEDICAL COLLEGE OF VIRGINIA FOUNDATION; MCV/MCV PHYSICIANS; MCV HOSPITALS AUTHORITY; JOHN DUVAL; MARIA CURRAN; DONNA STEIGLEDER; MARIE GREENWOOD; SHARON JAHN; DEBORAH SLAYDEN; PETER RING; DUANE JACKSON; TERIKUTTENKULER; DAVID HOULETTE; ANTOINETTE LIGHT,

Defendants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Richmond. Henry E. Hudson, District Judge. (3:09-cv-00738-HEH-DJN)

Submitted: September 21, 2012 Decided: October 1, 2012

Before KING, DAVIS, and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Dockets.Justia.com

Appeal: 12-1594 Doc: 12 Filed: 10/01/2012 Pg: 2 of 3

Ralph Stewart, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. Gregory Clayton Fleming, Senior Assistant Attorney General, Richmond, Virginia; Lynn Forgrieve Jacob, WILLIAMS MULLEN, Richmond, Virginia; Sara Berg Rafal, WILLIAMS MULLEN, Virginia Beach, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Ralph Stewart, Jr., appeals the district court's order granting the Virginia Commonwealth University Health System Authority's petition for attorney's fees and ordering that he pay attorney's fees in the amount of \$28,547.25 as a sanction for his failure to comply with the rules of discovery. On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the appellant's brief. See 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Stewart's informal brief does not challenge the basis for the district court's disposition, Stewart has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED