Filed: 07/23/2012 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-1708

JOSEPH E. MACON, a/k/a Joe E. Macon,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

GEICO INSURANCE; SCDMV,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Anderson. Timothy M. Cain, District Judge. (8:11-cv-00007-TMC)

Submitted: July 19, 2012 Decided: July 23, 2012

Before DUNCAN, AGEE, and WYNN, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Joseph E. Macon, Appellant Pro Se. David L. Moore, Jr., NEXSEN PRUET, Greenville, South Carolina; Russell W. Harter, Jr., CHAPMAN, HARTER & GROVES, PA, Greenville, South Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Doc. 403999229

## PER CURIAM:

Joseph E. Macon seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing his civil action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 636(b)(1)(B) (West 2006 & Supp. 2012). The magistrate judge recommended that the action be dismissed and advised Macon that failure to timely file specific objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation.

timely filing of specific objections to magistrate judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when parties have of the been warned the consequences Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th noncompliance. Cir. 1985); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Macon has waived appellate review by failing to timely file specific objections after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**