

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-1793

CATHERINE DENISE RANDOLPH,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

DOUGLAS GANSLER; WILLIAM F. BROCKMAN,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. J. Frederick Motz, Senior District Judge. (1:12-cv-01466-JFM)

Submitted: October 11, 2012

Decided: October 15, 2012

Before KING, DUNCAN, and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Catherine Denise Randolph, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Catherine Denise Randolph appeals the district court's order denying relief on her 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) complaint and denying her subsequent motion for reconsideration. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Randolph v. Gansler, No. 1:12-cv-01466-JFM (D. Md. May 24, 2012, June 11, 2012). We deny as moot Randolph's motion to expedite. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED