

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 12-1845**

---

In re: GREG GIVENS; DENNIS A. GIVENS

Petitioners.

---

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus.

---

Submitted: November 2, 2012

Decided: November 7, 2012

---

Before WILKINSON, KEENAN, and THACKER, Circuit Judges.

---

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Greg Givens, Dennis A. Givens, Petitioners Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Petitioners petition for a writ of mandamus seeking an order requiring West Virginia judges and prosecutors to investigate and prosecute crimes committed against petitioners, to permit petitioners to bring pro se charges, and to release documents. We conclude that Petitioners are not entitled to mandamus relief.

Mandamus relief is a drastic remedy and should be used only in extraordinary circumstances. Kerr v. United States Dist. Court, 426 U.S. 394, 402 (1976); United States v. Moussaoui, 333 F.3d 509, 516-17 (4th Cir. 2003). Further, mandamus relief is available only when the petitioner has a clear right to the relief sought. In re First Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n, 860 F.2d 135, 138 (4th Cir. 1988). This court does not have jurisdiction to grant mandamus relief against state officials, Gurley v. Superior Court of Mecklenburg Cnty., 411 F.2d 586, 587 (4th Cir. 1969), and does not have jurisdiction to review final state court orders, Dist. of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman, 460 U.S. 462, 482 (1983).

Thus, the relief sought by Petitioners is not available by way of mandamus. Accordingly, although we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the

materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED