

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-2230

TIEMOKO COULIBALY, Dr.; FATOU GAYE-COULIBALY, Dr.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.; FANNIE MAE; LONG & FOSTER REAL ESTATE, INC.; NRT-MID-ATLANTIC TITLE SERVICE, LLC, now known as Mid-Atlantic Settlement Services LLC; FIRST AMERICAN TITLE; FAACS; GUARDIAN FUNDING; INTEGRATED ASSET SERVICES; SIMCOX AND BARCLAY, LLP; JOHN AND JANE DOE,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt. Deborah K. Chasanow, Chief District Judge. (8:10-cv-03517-DKC)

Submitted: March 29, 2013

Decided: May 1, 2013

Before MOTZ, DAVIS, and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Tiemoko Coulibaly, Fatou Gaye-Coulibaly, Appellants Pro Se. Chad King, John Sears Simcox, SIMCOX & BARCLAY, Annapolis, Maryland; Timothy Guy Casey, LAW OFFICE OF TIMOTHY G. CASEY, PA, Rockville, Maryland; Sarah F. Lacey, Kimberly Anne Manuelides, Indira Kavita Sharma, SAUL EWING, LLP, Baltimore, Maryland; Brent M. Ahalt, Jennifer Anne Sherburne, MCNAMEE, HOSEA, JERNIGAN, KIM, GREENAN & WALKER, PA, Greenbelt, Maryland; Thomas

Althausser, Seth Philip Kleiner, ECCLESTON & WOLF PC, Hanover
Maryland, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Dr. Tiemoko Coulibaly and Dr. Fatou Gaye-Coulibaly appeal the district court's orders granting the motions to dismiss their claims filed by Defendants other than JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("Chase"), granting in part and denying in part the motion to dismiss filed by Chase, denying their motions for recusal and reconsideration, and granting Chase's motion for summary judgment in their civil action. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Coulibaly v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., No. 8:10-cv-03517-DKC (D. Md. Sept. 7, 2012; Dec. 28, 2011; Dec. 12, 2011; Sept. 16, 2011 & Aug. 8, 2011). We deny Chase's motion to strike the informal brief and dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED