Filed: 02/28/2013 Pg: 1 of 3

Doc. 404326876

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-2332

MARGE IANNUCCI,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

and

MICHAEL A. IANNUCCI,

Plaintiff,

v.

RITE AID CORPORATION, et al,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, at Asheville. Martin K. Reidinger, District Judge. (1:11-cv-00281-MR-DLH)

Submitted: February 26, 2013 Decided: February 28, 2013

Before MOTZ, WYNN, and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Marge Iannucci, Appellant Pro Se. Beth A. Moeller, OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, PC, Atlanta, Georgia; Michael Lawrence Wade, Jr., OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, PC, Charlotte, North Carolina, for Appellee.

Appeal: 12-2332 Doc: 10 Filed: 02/28/2013 Pg: 2 of 3

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 12-2332 Doc: 10 Filed: 02/28/2013 Pg: 3 of 3

PER CURIAM:

Marge Iannucci appeals the district court's order entering judgment in her employment discrimination action in accordance with the Defendant's Fed. R. Civ. P. 68 offer and dismissing the remainder of the action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. She also appeals the order compelling discovery and entering a confidentiality order. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Iannucci v. Rite Aid Corp., No. 1:11-cv-00281-MR-DLH (W.D.N.C. July 24 & Oct. 19, 2012). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED